

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tispio.gov

		Andrew Congress States		مان سند المستوات به سال الم	_
APPLICATIONNO:	FILINGIDATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTIORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/074,961	02/13/2002	Masaru Sakuma	AMANO A275-DIV	8864	
75	90 10/15/2003		EXAM	IINER	
Norman P. Soloway			BEATTY, ROBERT B		
HAYES SOLOWAY P.C. 130 W. Cushing Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Tucson, AZ 85701			2852		
			D. MEL (. II DD 10/15/000		

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/074,961	SAKUMA ET AL.					
2	Examiner	Art Unit					
<u>.</u>	Robert Beatty	2852					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 19 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	•					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	• .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement O. Other:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Jh Best					
		Robert Beatty Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2852					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the inventor's have sent in an affidavit that compares the fatigue strength of a first thin sleeved hollow metal structure having a thickness of 0.06 mm and an outer diameter of 60 mm formed by spinning working and a second thin sleeved hollow metal structure having the same thickness and diameter but formed from electroplating. From the comparison, the electroplated sleeve broke after about 100,000 loading cycles and the spinning worked sleeve failed to break even after 1,000,000. However, this affidavit is not sufficient to overcome the rejection because the claim calls for a Vicker's hardness and it is not seen that applicant's fatigue stress is comparable to the Vicker's hardness of the material. In addition, the applicant's were silent as to the material used for the tests which the examiner believes would effect the Vicker's hardness and/or the fatigue stress of the applicant's tests. Finally,, the affidavit has been executed by the inventors of the instant invention which is less persuasive than from a disinterested party.